Application No.

09/662,052

Applicant(s)

Li et al

Interview Summary

Examiner

Jehanne Souaya

Art Unit 1634



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Jehanne Souaya	(3)
(2) Howard Frankfort	
Date of Interview Dec 2, 2002	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e) 🛮 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4, and 11-20 Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
	proposed amendment to claim 1, appeared to be in error as the icant's representative agreed and authorized the examiner's ith regard to antecedent basis issues.
	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has SOM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required